UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/796,849	03/08/2004	Kuan Liang	500-010	2451
	²⁴⁰⁰² ANTHONY R.	7590 08/20/200 BARKUME	7	EXAMINER	
	20 GATEWAY L MANORVILLE,	LANE		ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2621	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/796,849	LIANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chikaodili E. Anyikire	2621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is responsive to application number (10796849) filed on March 08, 2004. Claims 1-4 are pending and have been examined.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure has grammatical errors: "storedin" and "fame" on page 5, Ln 14 should be corrected to "stored in" and "frame", respectively; "the it" on page 6, Ln 2 should be corrected to "it"; and "propsed" on page 9, Ln 3, should be corrected to "proposed".

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because Fig 5, 202 states, "filed", which should be corrected to "field". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date

Art Unit: 2621

of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 4 recites the limitation "frame difference" and "the fixed sequence" in lines 2 and 3 of claim 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 4 objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant states "fame difference", which does not specify in his disclosure and should be changed to "frame difference". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/796,849

Art Unit: 2621

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin (US 7, 050, 088).

As per claim 1, Lee discloses a method for robust layered 3:2 pulldown film mode source detection, said method providing video signals of three fields, a current field, a last field and a next field, said video signals being used to determine a film source and said method comprising steps of:

defining a plurality of layers and each layer has a threshold and a counter (Fig 5; Col 3 Ln 1-18 and Col 5 Ln 16-40);

comparing each difference between pixels of said last field and said next field with each layer's threshold to obtain a comparing result (Fig 5, F(n) and F(n+2); Col 4 Ln 45 – Col 5 Ln 40; the applicant should note that the F(n) and F(n+2) would be referred to as the next field and last field respectively);

according to said comparing result, determining a change of said counter of every layer (Col 5 Ln 16-40); and

determining a result sequence by said counter to decide said film mode source (Col 5 Ln 23-40).

As per claim 2, Lee discloses the method according to claim 1 wherein said layers are three (Fig 5, Adap_th; Col 4 Ln 45-67; the applicant should note the loop in Fig 5 the first threshold (Fi_th) and the adaptive threshold (Adap_th), which provides

Application/Control Number: 10/796,849 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

numerous threshold values correspond to the plurality (three) of thresholds in the applicant's invention).

As per claim 3, Lee discloses the method according to claim 1 wherein said counter of a layer is increased by 1 when the absolute value of each difference is bigger than a threshold of a layer (Fig 5, Step S507; Col 4 Ln 45-50 and Col 5 Ln 16-27).

As per claim 4, Lee discloses the method according to claim 1 wherein said result sequence uses the frame difference of the film source processed by 3:2 pulldown as the fixed sequence by its counter (Col 4 Ln 45-50 and Col 5 Ln 16-40; the applicant should note that the prior art relates the field difference to the result sequence and since the field difference is a part of the frame difference).

Other Prior Art Cited

9. The following prior art are relevant to the application and could be uses as prior art.

Adams (US 6,380,978) is relevant to the applications because it describes the overall invention.

Lin (US 7,133,064) is relevant to the application because it describes the overall invention.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/796,849

Art Unit: 2621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chikaodili E. Anyikire whose telephone number is (571) 270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272 - 7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CEA

MEHRDAD DASTOURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2600

Page 6